

EGOM in April, 2004. Thus the Consultants started working even before their appointment was approved.

3.1.4 From the report of the Govt. Review Committee, it is seen that the Evaluation Committee (EC) had stated that their evaluation was not based merely on the submittals but they relied upon some published statistics, information available within their setup and their own perception and understanding of various aspects of Evaluation (Please refer GRC's report on their meeting dt. 23rd/24th November, 2005). This is not in conformity to RFP.

3.1.5 The Inter Ministerial Group (IMG) in its meeting held on 19.9.2005 decided after discussion that evaluation of bids on all parameters (all phases) shall be carried out by a composite team of Financial Consultants (FC), Global Technical Adviser (GTA) and Legal Consultant (LC) ensuring independent analysis, transparency, consistency, non-discrimination and equity. However, it is observed that evaluation of Phase-I and Phase-II has been done by all the three members of Evaluation Committee but Phase-III (Technical Pre-qualification) has been done only by FC and GTA. LC was not represented in this evaluation.

4.0 Scrutiny of marks assigned to Sub-factors :

4.1 The technical evaluation has to be carried out following two broad criteria mentioned in the RFP:

4.1.1 Management Capability, Commitment and Value Add – 100 marks.

4.1.2 Development Capability, Commitment and Value Add – 100 marks.

4.2 There are 8 sub-criteria in the criteria no. 4.1.1 out of which 4 have further sub-factors. Similarly, there are 11 sub-criteria in the criteria 4.1.2 out of which 6 have further sub-factors.